بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

Settling the Controversy Over the "Near Enemy" and the "Far Enemy"!

In the past days, people watched scenes of negotiations between the murtadd "Taliban" militia and their opponents, the Afghan government parties. They were divided into two groups: one an attacker, merely because the leader of the "Taliban" militia, murtadd Bradar stood next to the enemy, the Secretary of State of Crusader America Pompeo. and the other an admirer of this scene, portraying it as a landmark of pride to Islam at this time, for the crusader was forced to stand by the side of whom he was fighting yesterday, according to their claim.

But the two parties missed something, which generated a distorted picture of the reality on which they built their wrong judgments. The two sides did not stand in that scene as enemies, for the enmity between them ended with the signing of the peace agreement months ago. Rather, the Taliban leader was in fact standing in front of whom he saw as a "peace mediator" who would facilitate his negotiations with the murtadd government. The American Secretary, on the other hand, was actually standing with whoever sees him as a mere "negotiating partner" and not a direct party in it. Here is the essence of the problem!

The "Taliban" militia had declared since the beginning of the Crusade against Khurasan that its war was not with the puppet government and therefore, its peace would not be with it, but rather its war was against the Crusader coalition and its negotiations would be with them only. Likewise, their fight was to expel the Crusaders, which would lead to the downfall of their murtadd followers. All that is outside today's accounts. The Crusaders made the "success" of the negotiations between the "Taliban" and "the government" a condition for their final withdrawal from the country. It is a deferred promise that the Crusaders will not likely fulfill.

Thus, America and its Crusader coalition almost left the circle of conflict, and turned into a mediator between two opponents fighting over rule and benefits alone. Today, it shows itself as the one eager to end a conflict in which it is not a party, and both sides will seek their consent in order to pressure the other side. The demands of the "Taliban" militia have been downsized from a return to the Islamic rule that they were in charge of two decades ago, to a mere demand for a share of the rule, whether big or small. We will not exaggerate by saying that their negotiations will not exceed a "national" share from a "multinational" government, no more. Thus, negotiations will be on determining the share of the "Pashtuns," "Tajiks," "Uzbeks," "Hazaras" and other people in Afghanistan, on the basis of ethnicity and language, and not on the basis of religion. Meanwhile, most of them claim that they want an "Islamic regime" that they are negotiating today to define its shape.

And this conversion of enemies into "peace mediators" or even "allies" is not new in the jihad arenas, and it will not be the last. We witnessed in Iraq, years ago, how the Sahawat factions there deviated from fighting the Crusaders to allying with them against the Islamic State, at a time when they claimed that their alliance with them is directed against Iran and the Rafida parties, which, by the way, were allies of the Crusaders, as well.

Hence, America switched from being a fighting party to mediator to stop the fight between its allies fighting over the rule. Then, it eventually sided with the Rafida, leaving the murtaddin of the Sahawat to become extinct, may Allah the Almighty never raise a banner for them.

Today, we also hear calls from the Sahawat of the Sham to stop considering the Russian Crusaders as allies of the murtadd Nusayri army, and to seek to get closer to them through the murtadd Turkish government. This way, the Russians would be "peace mediators" to lead them and the Nusayris in the negotiations that they are rushing to enter today in order to obtain something that they were unable to achieve through war and fighting!

And that is after they came to regard America and its allies among the Crusaders as allies in the war against the Islamic State, after they had been considered for decades as enemies of the religion, which they claim to be affiliated with. Similarly, we see the same thing in Libya, where Russia mediates between the two murtadd groups ruling Tripoli and Benghazi, on the condition that they obtain benefits from both sides.

Thus, the philosophies of "the far enemy" and "the near enemy," with which theorists have cracked people's heads for decades, go to the files of oblivion, along with many terms and slogans that have been dried out of benefits. This way, the useless debate over the primacy of fighting ends. Should the fight be directed towards the "near enemy" that begins killing Muslims and disrupting their religion on behalf of the "far enemy," namely, the tawaghit and their armies? Or, should it be directed towards the "far enemy" which is the actual ruler of Muslim countries and which the "near enemy" has no possibility of survival without its support and assistance, namely, the Crusaders led by America?

The decision taken by the murtadd parties, falsely affiliated with Islam, today, is to end the state of hostility with the "far enemy" in exchange for accepting its transformation into a "peace mediator" that oversees partnership negotiations with the "near enemy." Eventually, to have all of them unite against the "common enemy" that threatens their existence namely, Islamic state.

Today, the opinion prevailing among the Crusaders is that the struggle between their tails, the tawaghit and the murtadd parties that are falsely affiliated with Islam, weakened their ability to control Muslim countries, and opened the way for the Islamic State to restore the Khilafah and threaten to overthrow and remove all taghut governments and murtadd parties that claim to oppose the Crusaders.

Therefore, the American tendency is to pressure both parties to reconcile and share power through negotiations in which they or other Crusader states would be a "peace mediator, so that the three parties would ally against their "common enemy" today, which is the Islamic State. Together, they join ranks fighting against the Islamic State. We ask Allah the Almighty to help us against them, disperse their gathering, and make us supreme over them, and all praise is due to Allah, the Lord of all creation.